arXiv:math/0108010v1 [math.GT] 2 Aug 2001

NON-POSITIVELY CURVED GRAPH MANIFOLDS ARE VIRTUALLY FIBERED OVER THE CIRCLE

P. Svetlov

Abstract. In this note we prove that any closed graph manifold admitting a metric of non-positive sectional curvature (NPC-metric) has a finite cover, which fibers over the circle.

An explicit criterion to have a finite cover, which fibers over the circle, is presented for the graph manifolds of certain class.

0.1 Results. Which compact 3-manifolds admit Riemannian metrics of non-positive sectional curvature (NPC-metric)? It is known that if a 3-manifold M admits a NPC-metric then it is irreducible and has infinite fundamental group. By the Thurston Geometrization Conjecture such a manifold is either hyperbolic or Seifert or Haken. In his paper [3], Leeb answered the question for Haken manifolds except for closed graph manifolds. (Recall that a graph manifold M is a Haken manifold such that there are only Seifert components in the JSJ-decomposition of M). The last case was studied by Buyalo and Kobel'skiĭ in [1,2] (see also Lemma 1.1).

Another Thurston's conjecture claims that any *hyperbolic* 3-manifold is virtually fibered over the circle (i.e. has a finite cover which is a surface bundle over the circle). Here we prove some “similar” result.

Theorem A. *If a closed graph manifold M admits a NPC-metric then M is virtually fibered over the circle.*

The assertion of theorem A is trivial for the graph manifolds with non-empty boundary. Indeed, *every* such manifold is virtually fibered over the circle [9] as well as it admits a NPC-metric [3]. On the other hand there is a lot of closed graph manifolds which do not admit NPC-metrics or are not virtually fibered.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the class of closed orientable graph manifolds that are pasted from Seifert pieces with orientable base-orbifolds of negative Euler characteristic. Such Seifert manifolds admit a geometry modelled on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$.

For each graph manifold $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ one can define a symmetric matrix H_M (see 0.4), which consists of numerical invariants of M (H_M is a generalization of a matrix introduced in [2]).

Theorem B. *A graph manifold $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ is virtually fibered over the circle iff either H_M has a negative eigenvalue or H_M is supersingular (a matrix A is called* `supersingular` *if it annihilates a tuple with no zero entry* [5]*).*

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Remark. In the papers [5,10], two criteria of virtual fibration for graph manifolds are proved. But application of these criteria is too complicated, so they are implicit ones. In the papers [4,10], two explicit *necessary* conditions of virtual fibration for graph manifolds are presented.

0.2 The graph Γ_M. Each graph manifold admitting a NPC-metric is finitely covered by a manifold of the class $\mathfrak{M}$ [1]. Such a covering manifold admits an (lifted) NPC-metric as well. In what follows we assume that all manifolds are in $\mathfrak{M}$.

Let M be a graph manifold, let $\{M_v\}_{v\in V}$ be the set of its Seifert pieces (we will call them *blocks*), and let $\mathcal{T} = \cup_{e\in E} T_e$ be the JSJ-surface in M (the minimal collection of incompressible tori). So this graph manifold $M = \cup_{\mathcal{T}} M_v$ can be obtained by pasting together the manifolds M_v along its boundary tori. The collections V and E form a graph $\Gamma_M(V,E)$, which is dual to the JSJ-decomposition of M. Namely, two vertices $v, v' \in V$ (may be equal) are joined by an edge $e \in E$ if the blocks M_v, $M_{v'} \subset M$ are (locally) separated by T_e. In this case we write $v' = e(v)$. By $\Gamma_M(V,W)$ we denote the oriented graph, which corresponded to $\Gamma_M(V,E)$, by $-w \in W$ we denote the opposite edge for $w \in W$, finally, by ∂v we denote the set of edges (oriented or not) initiating at $v \in V$.

0.3 Invariants of graph manifolds. Choosing some orientation on M we get an orientation on each maximal block M_v, $v \in V$ and hence on each its boundary torus $T_e \subset \partial M_v = \cup_{e\in\partial v} T_e$. The last orientation defines the canonical "area" isomorphism $is_w : \Lambda^2 H_1(T_e;\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$, where the oriented edge $w \in W$ corresponds to the orientation of $e \in E$ *from* $v \in V$ (further we will write $w = (v,\, e)$). Let $a \wedge_w b = is_w(a\wedge b) \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the image of $a\wedge b \in \Lambda^2 H_1(T_e;\mathbb{Q})$ under this isomorphism. Note that $a \wedge_{-w} b = -a \wedge_w b$ since the orientations on T_e arising from M_v and $M_{v'}$ are opposite.

From now on we fix some orientations of the Seifert fibers in the blocks of M. The Seifert fibration of M_v induces linear foliations of each boundary torus T_e, $e \in \partial v$. Let $f_w \in H_1(T_e, \mathbb{Z})$, $w = (v,\, e)$ be the element representing the foliation of T_e. Note that the element $f_v = \iota_*(f_w)$, where the homomorphism $\iota_* : H_1(\partial M_v) \to H_1(M_v)$ is induced by inclusion is independent of $w \in \partial v$ and presents any fiber of the Seifert fibration of M_v.

We define the integer b_w for each $v \in V$ and $w \in \partial v$ by

$$b_w = f_w \wedge_w f_{-w}.$$

It is not difficult to see that $b_w = b_{-w}$. The integer $b_e = |b_w|$, $w = (v,\, e)$ is independent of the chosen orientations.

The following lemma is useful to introduce another invariant. Its proof can be easily deduced from [6] (see, also [7]).

Lemma. *Assume that for each $w \in \partial v$ we fix an element $z_w \in H_1(T_e)$, $w = (v,\, e)$ such that $f_w \wedge_w z_w = 1$. In this case we have*

$$\iota_*(z) = E(z)\cdot f_v, \qquad \text{where } z = \bigoplus_{w\in\partial v} z_w,$$

and $E(z)$ is a rational number (the Euler number).

Remark. In paper [6] the Euler number has the opposite sign.

Now we define *the charge* k_v of a block M_v by

$$k_v = E\left(\bigoplus_{w\in\partial v}\frac{f_{-w}}{b_w}\right).$$

The charge k_v of a block $M_v \subset M$ is independent of the orientations of the Seifert fibers in blocks of M. It depends only on orientation of M. See, also [1].

0.4 The matrix H_M [2]. Consider the square matrix $H_M = (h_{vv'})_{v,v'\in V}$ over the graph $\Gamma_M(V,E)$:

$$h_{vv'} = \begin{cases} s(v)k_v & -\sum\limits_{e(v)=v}\frac{2}{b_e} & \text{if } v = v', \\ & -\sum\limits_{e(v)=v'}\frac{1}{b_e} & \text{if } v \neq v' \text{ and } k_v k_{v'} > 0, \\ & 0 & \text{othe8ise}, \end{cases}$$

where the function $s : V \to \{0, \pm 1\}$ is constructed as follows. If $k_v = 0$ for all $v \in V$ then $s(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Let $k_v \neq 0$ at least for one vertex $v \in V$. Vertices $v, v' \in V$ of the graph Γ_M are called equivalent ($v \sim v'$) if there exists a path $v_0 = v$, v_1, v_2, $\ldots$, $v_n = v'$ in Γ_M such that $k_{v_i} \cdot k_{v_{i+1}} > 0$ for each $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. An edge $e \in E$ is called equivalent to a vertex $v \in V$ ($e \sim v$) if $k_v \neq 0$ and the vertices, incident to the edge are equivalent to $v \in V$. The factor graph of $\Gamma_M(V,E)$ by the relation $\sim$ is called *the graph of signed components* and it is denoted by $G(U, E_0)$. For convenience we introduce the following notation

$$p : \Gamma_M(V,E) \to G(U,E_0) = \Gamma_M(V,E)/\sim$$

for the factor map. It is clear, that if $p\,(e) \in E_0$ then e joins nonequivalent vertices in $\Gamma_M(V,E)$.

Now we are ready to define s. If the graph $G(U,E_0)$ is not bipartite then we put $s(v) = 0$ for each vertex $v \in V$. Assume that $G(U,E_0)$ is a bipartite graph. Choose an orientation of M and a partition $U = P \cup N$ so that there exists a vertex $v \in P$ with $k_v > 0$. In this case we put $s(v) = 1$ if $v \in P$, and $s(v) = -1$ if $v \in N$.

Note, that the properties of H_M to be supersingular and to have a negative eigenvalue are independent of of representation of H_M as a “square table” (i.e. on an order of the vertices of Γ_M). On the other hand by reordering of vertices one can reduce this matrix to block-diagonal form $H_M = \oplus_{u\in U} H_u$, where $H_u = \{h_{vv'} \,|\, v, v' \in p^{-1}(u)\}$.

If the graph $G(U,E_0)$ is bipartite then this matrix coincides with the matrix H_M from [2]. If a graph manifold M has no block with zero charge then $G(U;E_0)$ is bipartite and $s(v) = \operatorname{sgn} k_v$. In this case H_M can be represented as the matrix $-(P_- \oplus N)$ from the paper [N1].

Throughout this paper we work in the piecewise linear category.

Acknowledgements. The paper is a part of the author’s PhD dissertation and as such owes much to S. V. Buyalo.

1. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let $\mathfrak{M}_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}$ be the class of the closed oriented graph manifolds that are pasted from blocks of kind $F \times S^1$, where F is a surface of negative Euler characteristic. It is known that each manifold of the class $\mathfrak{M}$ is finitely covered by a manifold from $\mathfrak{M}_0$. Here we give results of [1,2] which are used in what follows.

Lemma 1.1. *Let M be a graph manifold of the class $\mathfrak{M}_0$ and $\Gamma_M(V,E)$ be its graph. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *M admits a NPC-metric;*
2. *the equation*

$$k_v a_v = \sum_{\substack{w \in \partial v \\ w=(v,\, e)}} \frac{\gamma_e a_{e(v)}}{b_e} \tag{CE}$$

over Γ_M with unknowns $\{a_v, \gamma_e \,|\, v \in V,\ e \in E\}$ has a solution $\{a, \gamma\}$ such that $a_v > 0$, $|\gamma_e| < 1$ for each $v \in V$, $e \in E$;

3. *the matrix H_M is either zero or has a negative eigenvalue.*

The equation (CE) is called *the Compatibility Equation over Γ_M*.

Remark. If (CE) has a solution then it has a rational one. Indeed, the conditions $a_v > 0$, $|\gamma_e| < 1$ are "open" ones and (CE) is a system of $|V|$ quasilinear equations over $\mathbb{Q}$ in $|V|+|E|$ unknowns. Moreover, we can (and will) assume that a_v is integer for any $v \in V$.

Proof of theorem A. By the observationn above we can assume that $M \in \mathfrak{M}_0$. If M has a NPC-metric then the equation (CE) has a solution by lemma 1.1. Using this solution we are looking for a certain *immersed* surface in M (Proposition 1.2). Then we show that this surface lifts as an *embedded* one in some finite cover of M (Proposition 1.4).

Proposition 1.2. *Let M be a graph manifold of the class $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\Gamma_M(V,E)$ be its graph.*

If (CE) *over $\Gamma_M(V,E)$ has a rational solution $\{a,\gamma\}$ such that $a_v > 0$, $|\gamma_e| \le 1$ for each $v \in V$, $e \in E$ then there exists a horizontal immersion $g : S \to M$ of an oriented surface with negative Euler characteristic to M (an immersion of a surface to a graph manifold M is called* `horizontal` *if it is transverse to the fibers of the Seifert fibered pieces of M).*

Remark. It is known [8], that the homomorphism $g_* : \pi_1(S) \to \pi_1(M)$ induced by horizontal immersion is injective.

Remark. Here we give somewhat more general prove than is necessary for theorem A. We take $|\gamma_e| \le 1$ instead of $|\gamma_e| < 1$ since it useful for theorem B.

Proof. Let $\{a, \gamma\}$ be a rational solution of (CE). We put $\gamma'_e = \mathrm{sgn}(b_w) \cdot \gamma_e$ for $w = (v,\, e)$, and consider the following classes in $H_1(T_e; \mathbb{Q})$:

$$c^+_w = \frac{1+\gamma'_e}{2b_w}\left(a_v f_{-w} + a_{e(v)} f_w\right)\,, \quad c^-_w = \frac{1-\gamma'_e}{2b_w}\left(a_v f_{-w} - a_{e(v)} f_w\right).$$

We may assume (multiplying all a_v by an appropriate positive integer) that the homological classes $c^\pm_w$ lie in $H_1(T_e; \mathbb{Z})$. Since $-w = (e(v),\, e)$ we have $c^+_{-w} = c^+_w$, $c^-_{-w} = -c^-_w$.

We choose a pair of nonoriented curves $\mathsf{c}_e^{\pm}$ on each JSJ-torus T_e in M that realize (with some orientations) the classes $c_w^{\pm}$ respectively (if one of the classes is zero then there is no corresponding curve).

Putting $c_w = c_w^+ + c_w^-$ it is easy to see that

$$f_w \wedge_w c_w = a_v > 0 \quad \text{is independent of } w \in \partial v \text{ and} \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{w \in \partial v} \frac{f_{-w}}{b_w} \wedge_{-w} c_w = \sum_{\substack{w \in \partial v \\ w=(v,\, e)}} \frac{\gamma_e' a_{e(v)}}{b_w} = k_v \cdot a_v \quad \text{by (CE).} \tag{2}$$

By $d(\mathsf{c})^n$ we denote d copies of a curve obtained by going n times around the curve c. It is proved in [6, Lemma 3.1], that under two last conditions there exist integers d_v, n_v so that for each integers d, n which are divisible by d_v and n_v respectively there exists a *connected* horizontal immersed surface S_v in M_v which spans the curves $d_v(\mathsf{c}_e^{\pm})^{n_v}$, $e \in \partial v$. (The converse assertion is also true: homological boundary of a horizontal surface, which is proper immersed in M_v, satisfies (1), (2)). Taking appropriate integers D and N we get an immersed surface by fitting together the parts S_v spanning the $D(\mathsf{c}_e^{\pm})^N$, $e \in \partial v$. Such a surface can be realized by a horizontal immersion $g : S \to M$. $\square$

In paper [8], Rubinstein and Wang proved a simple iff condition for a given horizontal surface in a graph manifold to lift as an embedded surface in some finite cover (i.e be virtually embedded). Namely, let S be a closed surface with negative Euler characteristic and let $g : S \to M \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a horizontal immersion. Deforming g slightly we may assume that $\mathcal{C} = g^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ (recall that $\mathcal{T}$ is a JSJ-surface of M) is a collection of disjoint simple closed curves in S. It is not difficult to see that each curve of this collection $\mathcal{C}$ is two-sided in S. Define a 1-cochain (a homomorphism of abelian groups) $s_g : C_1(S; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ which takes values in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Q}_+^*$ of positive rationals as follows. If $\kappa : [0,1] \to S$ is a singular 1-simplex and $k = [\kappa] \in C_1(S; \mathbb{Z})$ is the corresponding 1-chain then $s_g(k) = 1$ if the intersection $\kappa([0,1]) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is empty, and

$$s_g(k) = \left| \frac{f_w \wedge_w g_*[\,c\,]}{f_{-w} \wedge_{-w} g_*[\,c\,]} \right|$$

if the intersection $\kappa([0,1]) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is transversal and consists of one point on a curve $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $g(c) \subset T_e$, and $w = (v, e)$. Here $[\,c\,] \in H_1(S; \mathbb{Z})$ is the class of c (with some orientation), $g \circ \kappa(0) \in M_v$ and $g \circ \kappa(1) \in M_{e(v)}$. Such a map s_g is extended (not uniquely) to entire group $C_1(S; \mathbb{Z})$ and the boundaries lie in the kernel of any extension. So we get some well defined cocycle $\mathsf{s}_g \in H^1(S; \mathbb{Q}_+^*)$.

Lemma 1.3 [8, theorem 2.3]**.** *Suppose M is an oriented graph manifold and $g : S \to M$ is a horizontal immersed surface. Then there exists a finite covering $p : \widetilde{M} \to M$ and an embedding $\tilde{g} : S \to \widetilde{M}$ such that $g = p \circ \tilde{g}$ if and only if $\mathsf{s}_g \equiv 1$.*

Proposition 1.4. *Let $g : S \to M$ be the immersion, which was constructed in the proof of Proposition 1.2. Then we have $\mathsf{s}_g \equiv 1$.*

Proof. We choose a point $p_v \in g^{-1}(M_v)$ for each $v \in V$. Consider a JSJ-torus $T_e \subset M$ that locally separates blocks M_v and $M_{v'}$. The intersection $g(S) \cap T_e$

consists on D copies of $(\mathsf{c}_e^+)^N$ and D copies of $(\mathsf{c}_e^-)^N$. Let $k_i^+(w)$ (resp. $k_i^-(w)$) be a 1-chain on S such that

$$\partial k_i^+(w) = [p_{v'}] - [p_v] \quad (\text{resp. } \partial k_i^-(w) = [p_{v'}] - [p_v])$$

and its support intersects the set $\mathcal{C} \subset S$ just one time by the pre-image of the i-th curve of $D(\mathsf{c}_e^+)^N$ (resp. $D(\mathsf{c}_e^-)^N$), $i = 1, \cdots, D$. Now we can compute the value of s_g on the chains $k_i^\pm(w)$ $(v' = w(v))$:

$$s_g\Big(k_i^+(w)\Big) = \frac{f_w \wedge_w Nc_w^+}{f_{-w} \wedge_{-w} Nc_{-w}^+} = \frac{a_v}{a_{v'}}, \quad s_g\Big(k_i^-(w)\Big) = \frac{f_w \wedge_w Nc_w^-}{f_{-w} \wedge_{-w} Nc_{-w}^-} = \frac{a_v}{a_{v'}},$$

It is obvious, that s_g is a coboundary, so $\mathsf{s}_g \equiv 1$. □

To complete the proof of theorem A it remains to note that if a graph manifold $\widetilde{M}$ contains an embedded horizontal surface then either $\widetilde{M}$ itself or some its 2-fold cover is fibered over the circle [5]. □

2. Proof of theorem B

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a graph manifold of the class $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\Gamma_M(V,E)$ be its oriented graph. The following two conditions are equivalent.*

1. *M has a finite cover, which fibers over the circle;*
2. *the compatibility equation over Γ_M has a rational solution $\{a, \gamma\}$ such that $a_v > 0$, $|\gamma_e| \leq 1$ for each $v \in V$, $e \in E$.*

Proof. We have already proved the implication $2 \Rightarrow 1$ (propositions 1.2 and 1.4).

Assume that $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ is virtually fibered. Let $g : S \to M$ be a virtual embedded horizontal surface (i.e. g(S) is the image of a fiber under the covering) and c_i, $i \in I$ be curves of the collection $\mathcal{C} = g^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ on S ($\mathcal{T}$, as usually, denotes the JSJ-surface in M). The set $S \setminus \mathcal{C}$ is a disjoint union of connected components S_α, $\alpha \in A$. Choosing orientation of M and orientations of the Seifert fibers in its blocks provide orientations of images $g(S_\alpha)$ and hence ones of each component S_α. Elements of the collections A and I are vertices and edges respectively of a graph $\Gamma_S(A, I)$, which is dual to the decomposition of $S = \cup_{\mathcal{C}} S_\alpha$ along the curves of $\mathcal{C}$. Namely, two vertices α, $\beta \in A$ (may be equal) are joined by an edge $i \in I$ if the components S_α, S_β are (locally) separated by c_i. In this case we write $\beta = i(\alpha)$. By $\Gamma_S(A, L)$ we denote the oriented graph, which corresponded to $\Gamma_S(A, I)$. By $-l \in L$ we denote the opposite edge for $l \in L$. Finally, by $\partial\alpha$ we denote the set of edges (oriented or not) initiating at $\alpha \in V$.

By $r : \Gamma_S(A, I) \to \Gamma_M(V, E)$ we will denote the natural map that preserves the incidence relation and maps the vertices to vertices and the edges to edges so that $g(S_\alpha) \subset M_{r(\alpha)}$ and $g(c_i) \subset T_{r(i)}$. It is clear that the map r is lifted to a map between oriented graphs (we beep use the same notation for this lifted map).

Now we fix a continuous embedding $\tau : \Gamma_S(A, I) \to S$ such that $\tau(\alpha) \in S_\alpha$ and the intersection $\tau(i) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is transversal and consists of one point on a curve c_i for each $i \in I$. In this situation one can consider the oriented edges of Γ_S as 1-simplexes, and their images as 1-simplexes on S. If S is virtually embedded then $\tau^* \mathsf{s}_g$ is the trivial element of $H^1(\Gamma_S(A, I); \mathbb{Q}_+^*)$ by lemma 1.3, i.e. the 1-cochain

$\tau^* s_g$ is a coboundary. So there exists a function $j : A \to \mathbb{Q}^*_+$ such that for each oriented edge $l \in L$ we have $\tau^* s_g(l) = j_\alpha / j_{l(\alpha)}$. On the other hand

$$\tau^* s_g(l) = s_g(\tau_* l) = \frac{f_{r(l)} \wedge_{r(l)} g_*[c_i]_\alpha}{f_{r(-l)} \wedge_{r(-l)} g_*[c_i]_{l(\alpha)}},$$

where $[c_i]_\alpha \in H_1(S)$ is the homological class of c_i which is oriented as a part of $\partial \overline{S}_\alpha$ and $l = (\alpha, i)$. Comparing the obtained equalities for $\tau^* s_g(l)$ we get

$$f_{r(l)} \wedge_{r(l)} g_*[c_i]_\alpha = \phi_i \cdot j_\alpha,$$

where $\phi : I \to \mathbb{Q}^*_+$ is a function.

Now we apply lemma 3.1 from [6] (see the end of the proof of proposition 2.1) to the proper immersion $g|_{\overline{S}_\alpha} : (\overline{S}_\alpha, \partial \overline{S}_\alpha) \to (M_{r(\alpha)}, \partial M_{r(\alpha)})$. Consider the element $c^\alpha_w \in H_1(T_e; \mathbb{Z})$, $w = (r(\alpha),\, e)$ that represents the boundary of $g(\overline{S}_\alpha)$ on T_e:

$$c^\alpha_w = \sum_{\beta \in w^r(\alpha)} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha e \beta}} g_*[c_i]_\alpha,$$

where $w^r(\alpha) = \{\beta \in A \,|\, r(\beta) = w\,(r(\alpha))\}$ and $I_{\alpha e \beta} = \{i \in I \,|\, r(i) = e,\, i(\alpha) = \beta\}$. Then one can rewrite (1), (2) as

$$(1') \qquad a_\alpha = f_w \wedge_w c^\alpha_w = \left(\sum_{\beta \in w^r(\alpha)} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha|w|\beta}} \phi_i \right) \cdot j_\alpha \text{ is independent of } w \in \partial r(\alpha),$$

$$(2') \qquad k_{r(\alpha)} a_\alpha = \sum_{w \in \partial r(\alpha)} \frac{1}{b_w} \sum_{\beta \in w^r(\alpha)} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha|w|\beta}} f_{-w} \wedge_{-w} g_*[c_i]_\alpha,$$

where $|w|$ denotes the nonoriented edge of Γ_M corresponding to $w \in W$. If a curve c_i separates components S_α and S_β then $[c_i]_\alpha = \epsilon_i [c_i]_\beta$, $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$, hence

$$\sum_{i \in I_{\alpha|w|\beta}} f_{-w} \wedge_{-w} g_*[c_i]_\alpha = \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha|w|\beta}} \epsilon_i f_{-w} \wedge_{-w} g_*[c_i]_\beta = \left(\sum_{i \in I_{\alpha|w|\beta}} \epsilon_i \phi_i \right) \cdot j_\beta.$$

Put

$$\Phi^e_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha e \beta}} \phi_i, \quad \gamma^e_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\Phi^e_{\alpha\beta}} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha e \beta}} \epsilon_i \phi_i \ .$$

We assume that $\Phi^e_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma^e_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ if $I_{\alpha e \beta} = \emptyset$. Now we can rewrite the equalities (1$'$) and (2$'$) as

$$(1'') \qquad a_\alpha = \left(\sum_{\beta \in w^r(\alpha)} \Phi^{|w|}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \cdot j_\alpha \qquad \text{is independent of } w \in \partial r(\alpha),$$

$$(2'') \qquad k_{r(\alpha)} a_\alpha = \sum_{w \in \partial r(\alpha)} \frac{1}{b_w} \sum_{\beta \in w^r(\alpha)} \Phi^{|w|}_{\alpha\beta} j_\beta.$$

Let Φ_w be the rectangular matrix with entries $\left(\gamma^{|w|}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \Phi^{|w|}_{\alpha\beta}\right)$, where the subscripts $\alpha,\ \beta$ range over $r^{-1}(v),\ r^{-1}(w(v))$ respectively (here v is the initiating vertex of $w \in W$). Note, that $\Phi_{-w} = \Phi^t_w$, where t denotes the transposition. Let Φ_v be the diagonal matrix with entries (a_α/j_α) and let J_v be the tuple with entries (j_α), where the subscript α ranges over $r^{-1}(v)$.

Now we draw the equality $(2'')$ in new notations as a vector one:

$$k_v \Phi_v J_v = \sum_{w\in\partial v} \frac{1}{b_w} \Phi_w J_{w(v)}. \tag{2'''}$$

Put

$$x_v = \sqrt{J^t_v \Phi_v J_v} > 0, \qquad \gamma_w = \operatorname{sgn}(b_w) \frac{J^t_v \Phi_w J_{w(v)}}{x_{w(v)} x_v},$$

then by $(2''')$ we have

$$k_v x_v = \sum_{w\in\partial v} \frac{\gamma_w x_{w(v)}}{|b_w|}.$$

Lemma 2.2. $|\gamma_w| \le 1$.

Proof. If $A = (a_{ik})$ is a $m\times n$-matrix, $x = (x_i)$ is a m-tuple, $y = (y_k)$ is a n-tuple, and all numbers $a_{ik},\ x_i,\ y_k$ are non-negative then

$$x^t A y = \sum_{i,k} x_i a_{ik} y_k = \sum_i x_i \left(\sum_k \sqrt{a_{ik}} \cdot \sqrt{a_{ik}} y_k \right) \le$$
$$\sum_i \left(x_i \sqrt{\sum_k a_{ik}} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\sum_k a_{ik} y_k^2} \le \sqrt{\sum_i \left(\sum_k a_{ik} \right) x_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_k \left(\sum_i a_{ik} \right) y_k^2}$$

by Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality applyied twice.

Since $|\gamma^e_{\alpha\beta}| \le 1$ for all subscripts, we have $\left|J^t_v \Phi_w J_{w(v)}\right| \le J^t_v A_w J_{w(v)}$, where A_w is rectangular matrix with entries $\left(\Phi^{|w|}_{\alpha\beta}\right)$. Previous sequence of inequalities, applyed to the matrix A_w and to the tuples $J_v,\ J_{w(v)}$, gives

$$J^t_v A_w J_{w(v)} \le \sqrt{J^t_v \Phi_v J_v \cdot J^t_{w(v)} \Phi_{w(v)} J_{w(v)}}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

To complete the proof of proposition 2.1 it remains to note that $\gamma_{-w} = \gamma_w$ since $\Phi^t_w = \Phi_{-w}$. In such a way the collection $\{x, \gamma\}$ give the required solution of (CE). □

The proof of theorem B. If H_M has a negative eigenvalue then M admits a NPC-metric (by Lemma 1.1). So M is covered by a surface bundle (by theorem A). Therefore to prove the "if" part of theorem B it remains to assume that $G(U, E_0)$ is bipartite, $H_M \ge 0$ (i.e. $x^t H_M x \ge 0$ for each tuple x), and H_M is supersingular. By supersingularity, there exists a tuple l with no zero entry such that $H_M l = 0$. We claim that all entries of l have the same sign. Indeed, for each tuple x we can write the following well known identity (see, e.g. [6])

$$x^t H_M x = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v,v'\in V} h_{vv'} l_v l_{v'} \left(\frac{x_v}{l_v} - \frac{x_{v'}}{l_{v'}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v,v'\in V} |h_{vv'}| l_v l_{v'} \left(\frac{x_v}{l_v} - \frac{x_{v'}}{l_{v'}} \right)^2.$$

We put $x_v = |l_v|$ for each $v \in V$ and then write

$$x^t H_M x = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v,v' \in V} |h_{vv'}|\, l_v l_{v'} \left(\operatorname{sgn} l_v - \operatorname{sgn} l_{v'}\right)^2 .$$

The left hand side of the equality is greater than or equal to zero since $H_M \geq 0$ and the right hand side of the equality is less than or equal to zero. Therefore we have $\operatorname{sgn} l_v = \operatorname{sgn} l_{v'}$ for each $v, v' \in V$.

Let $a_v = |l_v|$ for each $v \in V$ be a "positive" tuple and

$$\gamma_e = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad p\,(e) \in P, \\ -1 & \text{if} \quad p\,(e) \in N, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad p\,(e) \in E_0. \end{cases}$$

For notations P, N, E_0 see 0.4. It is not difficult to see that $\{a, \gamma\}$ is a solution of (CE) over Γ_M. Therefore M is virtually fibered by proposition 2.1.

Conversely, by proposition 2.1 we can start from a solution $\{a, \gamma\}$ of CE over Γ_M. If $|\gamma_e| < 1$ for each $e \in E$ then there is nothing to prove since in this case (and only in this case if $H_M \not\equiv 0$) H_M has a negative eigenvalue by lemma 1.1. So we assume that $H_M \geq 0$ and $H_M \not\equiv 0$. Let $H_M = \oplus_{u \in U} H_u$ be the block-diagonal expansion corresponding to the graph $G(U, E_0)$ and let a^u be the sub-tuple of a corresponding to $u \in U$. It is clear, that $H_M \geq 0$ if and only if $H_u \geq 0$ for any $u \in U$. Putting $V_u = p^{-1}(u) \cap V$ and $E_u = p^{-1}(u) \cap E$ we can write

$$(a^u)^t H_u\, a^u = \operatorname{sgn} u \sum_{v \in V_u} k_v a_v^2 - 2 \sum_{e \in E_u} \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e},$$

where $\operatorname{sgn} u = 1$ if $u \in P$, $\operatorname{sgn} u = -1$ if $u \in N$, and $e^{\pm}$ are the vertices that are joined by e. Using CE we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} (a^u)^t H_u\, a^u &= \operatorname{sgn} u \sum_{v \in V_u} \sum_{e \in \partial v} \gamma_e \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e} - 2 \sum_{e \in E_u} \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e} = \\ &\operatorname{sgn} u \sum_{v \in V_u} \left(\sum_{e \in \partial v \cap V_u} \gamma_e \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e} + \sum_{e \in \partial v \cap E_0} \gamma_e \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e} \right) - 2 \sum_{e \in E_u} \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e} = \\ &\qquad \operatorname{sgn} u \sum_{e \in E_0^u} \gamma_e \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e} - 2 \sum_{e \in E_u} (1 - \operatorname{sgn} u \cdot \gamma_e) \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_0^u = \{e \in E_0 \,|\, e \text{ has one of its end in } V_u\}$. Summing the last equalities for $u \in U$ we get

$$a^t H_M\, a = \sum_{u \in U} (a^u)^t H_u\, a^u = -2 \sum_{e \in E \setminus E_0} (1 - \operatorname{sgn} e \cdot \gamma_e) \frac{a_{e^+} a_{e^-}}{b_e},$$

where $\operatorname{sgn} e = 1$ if $p\,(e) \in P$ and $\operatorname{sgn} e = -1$ othewise. But $a^t H_M a \geq 0$, therefore $\gamma_e = \operatorname{sgn} e$ for each $e \in E \setminus E_0$ and $a^t H_M a = 0$. Since this matrix H_M is symmetric and positive semidefined, we have $H_M a = 0$. But $a_v > 0$ for each $v \in V$, so H_M is supersingular. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. S. Buyalo, V. Kobel'skiĭ, *Geometrization of graph-manifolds I. Conformal geometrization*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **7** (1996), no. 2, 185–216.
2. S. Buyalo, V. Kobel'skiĭ, *Geometrization of graph-manifolds II. Isometric geometrization*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **7** (1996), no. 3, 387–404.
3. B. Leeb, *Manifolds with(out) metrics of non-positive curvature*, Invention. Math. **122** (1995), 277–289.
4. J. Luecke, Y.-Q. Wu, *Relative Euler number and finite covers of graph manifolds*, in proceedings of Georgia international topology conference (August 2-13, 1993), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **2 (pt. 1)** (1997), 80–103.
5. W. D. Neumann, *Commensurability and virtual fibration for graph manifolds*, Topology **39** (1996), 355–378.
6. W. D. Neumann, *Immersed and virtually embedded surfaces in graph manifolds*, preprint (1998), math.GT/9901085.
7. W. D. Neumann, F. Raymond, *Seifert manifolds, plumbing, μ-invariant and orientation reversing maps*, Springer, Berlin, Lect. Notes in Math. **664** (1978), 162–195.
8. J. H. Rubinstein, S. C. Wang, *π_1-injective surfaces in graph-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 499–515.
9. S. C. Wang, F. C. Yu, *Graph-manifolds with non-empty boundary are covered by a surface bundle over the circle*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **122** (1997), 447–455.
10. Y. Wang, F. Yu, *When closed graph-manifolds are finitely covered by surface bundles over S^1*, Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser. **15** (1999), no. 1, 11–20.

e-mail: `svetlov@pdmi.ras.ru`